IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 96 of 2005 (M/B)

Kamal Kumar S/o Sri Chandra Prakash
R/o 14/3, Mohalla Mannuganj,
Dehradun. ……. Petitioner

Versus

1. Regional Transport Authority,
Dehradun through its Secretary.

2. Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Dehradun …… Respondents.

……

Sri Dharmendra Barthwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Sri N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for the respondents.
Sri L.P. Naithani, Senior Advocate with Sri Ramji Srivastava, Advocate for the intervener.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri Dharmendra Barthwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sri N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Sri L.P. Naithani, Senior Advocate with Sri Ramji Srivastava, Advocate for the intervener.

2. Sri Barthwal, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that as the petitioner has been granted permit by the Transport Authorities during the pendency of the writ petition, the writ petition now has become infructuous.

3. Sri Naithani, the leaned Senior Counsel for the intervener submits that the petitioner under the grab of the interim order passed on 02.02.2005 has obtained permit from the Transport Authorities.

4. Be that as it may, as the petition now has become infructuous, it is dismissed as infructuous.

5. With the above order CLMA No. 8443 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 14.07.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 14.07.2006

A